

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON**

**ROBERT ASLANYAN,**

Petitioner,

v.

**ISRAEL JACQUEZ,**

Respondent.

Case No. 3:24-cv-00119-JE

**ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS &  
RECOMMENDATION**

Robert Aslanyan, 46103-510, Federal Prison Camp, P.O. Box 700, Yankton, SD 57078. Pro Se.

Natalie Wight, United States Attorney, Susanne Luse and Patrick J. Conti, Assistant United States Attorneys, 1000 SW Third Ave., Suite 600 Portland, OR 97204. Attorneys for Respondent.

**IMMERGUT, District Judge.**

On August 9, 2024, Magistrate Judge John Jelderks issued his Findings and Recommendation (F&R), ECF 19, recommending that Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus should be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. No objections were filed.

**DISCUSSION**

Under the Federal Magistrates Act ("Act"), as amended, the court may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge."

28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). If a party objects to a magistrate judge's F&R, "the court shall make a

de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” *Id.* But the court is not required to review, de novo or under any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the F&R that are not objected to. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149–50 (1985); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc). Nevertheless, the Act “does not preclude further review by the district judge, *sua sponte*” whether de novo or under another standard. *Thomas*, 474 U.S. at 154.

No parties having filed objections, the Court has reviewed the F&R, ECF 19, and accepts Judge Jelderks’s conclusions. The F&R, ECF 19, is ADOPTED in full. Petitioner’s Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is DISMISSED for lack of jurisdiction.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATED this 9th day of September, 2024.

/s/ Karin J. Immergut  
Karin J. Immergut  
United States District Judge